रा०रा० सं० और किस राज्य से गुजरता है तथा लगभग लम्बाई (कि०मी०)	राष्ट्रीय राजमार्गों के ब्यौरे
रा०रा०-65 (हरियाणा-240) राजस्थान-170 ——— 410)	हरियाणा में अम्बाला के निकट रा०रा०-1 पर इसके जंक्शन से शुरू होकर, कैथल-हिसार को जोड़ते हुए राजस्थान में फतेहपुर के निकट रा०रा०-11 पर इसके जंक्शन तक का राजमार्ग।
रा०रा०-66 (पांडिचेरी-10) तमिलनाडु-234 ——— 244)	पांडिचेरी में रा०रा०-45क के साथ इसके जंक्शन से शुरू होकर, टिंडीवनम-जिन्जी-थिरुवनामले को जोड़ते हुए तमिलनाडु में कृष्णगिरी के निकट रा०रा०-7 पर इसके जंक्शन तक का राजमार्ग।
रा०रा०-67 (तमिलनाडु-217)	तमिलनाडु में नागपट्टीनम से शुरू होकर, त्रिची को जोड़ते हुए करूर के निकट रा०रा०-7 पर इसके जंक्शन तक का राजमार्ग।
रा०रा०-68 (तमिलनाडु-134	तमिलनाडु में उलुन्डुरुपेट के निकट रा०रा०-45 पर इसके जंक्शन से शुरू होकर, सलेम के निकट रा०रा०-7 पर इसके जंक्शन तक का राजमार्ग।
रा०रा०-69 (महाराष्ट्र-55 मध्य प्रदेश-295 ——— 350)	महाराष्ट्र में नागपुर के निकट रा०रा०-8 के साथ इसके जंक्शन से शुरू होकर मध्य प्रदेश में ओबेदुल्लागंज के निकट रा०रा०-12 के साथ इसके जंक्शन तक का राजमार्ग।
रा०रा०-6 का विस्तार (महाराष्ट्र-127) गुजरात-160 ——— 287)	महाराष्ट्र में धूले के निकट रा०रा०-6 के साथ इसके जंक्शन से शुरू होकर, गुजरात में सूरत तक का राजमार्ग।
कुल 4219	

Road accidents between Vijayawada and Ichapuram Andhra Pradesh

3830. SHRI V. KISHORE CHANDRA S. DEO: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware of the increasing number of road fatalities on the National Highway between Vijayawada and Ichapuram in Andhra Pradesh;

(b) whether NHAI has been given jurisdiction to maintain sections of the National Highway between Srikakulam, Ichapuram and Vijayawada;

(c) the amount spent by NHAI on the said section to enhance road safety;

(d) the number of rest areas created or proposed to be created by NHAI from Ichapuram and Vijayawada;

(e) whether there is any specific representation pending with Government in this regard;

(f) whether steps are being taken to attend to this passenger amenity and safety requirement; and

(g) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (DR. DEBENDRA PRADHAN): (a) No report of road fatalities in this portion of National Highway has been received in the Ministry.

(b) The NHAI has so far been given the jurisdiction of NH-5 between Vijayawada and Eluru excluding the urban link through Eluru town.

(c) the project of four laning km. 3.4 to 13.0 and strengthening the existing two lane km. 3.4 to 75 and construciton of a bypass to Eluru town is in progress in this section. The cost of the project is Rs. 147.18 crores. This includes various road safety measures.

(d) Suitable location for construction of a rest area has been identified tentatively between km 79.0 and 80.0 of NH 5 Vijayawada-Visakhapatnam Section.

(e) Yes, Sir. In his representation dated 19th May, 1998, Dr. P. Pullarao Member, National Road Safety Council, has stressed the need for creating wayside amenities on National Highway No. 5 in West Godawari District. He has been representing for creation of model rest areas in West Godavari District since August 1996.

(f) and (g) A number of steps are being taken to enhance road safety. These include widening of narrow bridges, provision of laybyes to trucks and bus bays, realignment at sharp curves, provision of retro-reflective road signs and thermoplastic road markings for better visibility at night.

---

12. NOON

RE-CAUVERY WATER DISPUTE

SHRI R. MARGABANDU (Tamil Nadu): Sir, the Government of India has told the Supreme Court ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN (Tamil Nadu): Mr. Chairman, Sir, the Government has not notified the Cauvery Water Scheme. ...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI S. VIDUTHALAI VIRUMBI (Tamil Nadu): Sir, we, the MPs, hailing from Tamil Nadu demanded repeatedly to notify the Cauvery Water Scheme and place it before Parliament and inform the Supreme Court accordingly. The Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu, Dr. Kalaignar, had sent a fax message to the Prime Minister in this regard to expedite ...*(Interruptions)* In spite of repeated demands, it seems the Government of India sought once again an adjournment in the Supreme Court ...*(Interruptions)* The Government of India did not submit any appropriate reason for such adjournment ...*(Interruptions)* We strongly condemn the lethargic attitude of the Government. It clearly shows that the Government had resorted to delaying tactics which is going to affect the farmers. ...*(Interruptions)* it is highly condemnable. ...*(Interruptions)* In protest, we are walking out ...*(Interruptions)*

(At this stage, some hon. Members left the Chamber.)

SHRI H.K. JAVARE GOWDA (Karnataka): Mr. Chairman, Sir, the Leader of the House had given the opinion of the Government. ...*(Interruptions)* There is no point in bringing up the matter again and again. ...*(Interruptions)* It is not in the interest of democracy. ...*(Interruptions)*